



Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe

Reporting on the pan-European Qualitative Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management

A special request is related to any foreseen changes related to policies, institutions and instruments until December 2014. Please select the button to provide such information.

Yes No

COUNTRY: Austria

DATE OF SUBMISSION: / /

National correspondent:

Name
Organization
Address
Phone/Fax
Email

Other professionals involved in the reporting process: 0

Deadline for submission :

PART I: Policies for Sustainable Forest Management (SFM)

A1. National forest programmes (NFP) or similar and related forest policies

NFP or similar process for forest related dialogue, policy development & implementation

Existence of NFP process or of similar process

Formal NFP process
 Process explicitly guided by the FOREST EUROPE NFP principles
 Similar process
 Other. Please specify:

Name of NFP or similar process

Name: Austrian Forest Dialogue
Internet Link: www.walddialog.at"

Starting year: 2003

Main formal decision making body of the process

Body with members other than Ministry responsible for forestry
 Ministry responsible for forestry
 Similar process
 Other. Please specify:

Yes No

Significant changes related to the NFP process or similar since 2011

If yes, please describe the reason/s for and type of changes [max. 100 words]

In 2009/2010 the Austrian Forest Dialogue was evaluated by external experts. based on their findings the dialogue process was restructured and reshaped appropriately. after finishing the external review the

austrian forest dialogue entered a new sicle with the aim to develop a Austrain Forest Strategy by the end of 2015.

Please specify [max. 100 words], particularly on the NFP principles related to NFP as iterative process, stakeholder participation and approaches to inter-sectoral coordination and collaboration:

Key lessons learned on the NFP process or similar

Achivements: Shared values and objectives New commitments for action New coalitions, partnerships and cooperations New culture of discussion and decision making Work out of common interests and goals concerning forests Clearification of conflict potentials Aggregation of know-how Possibilities for cooperations were screened and found Structured framework for action Deficits: Existing legal and institutional framework sufficient Hesitation to share power Concern of losing room to act Costs (Better) Implementation of the results to other processes Limits: No broad active public participation Limitation in clearing up all conflicts but he can make them visible The Austrian Forest Dialogue does not compete with existing instruments of forest policy such as the Forest Act or support programmes, but is rather complementary to them

Comments on the NFP or similar process

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents when relevant:

The National Forest Dialogue is important in order to: Strengthen the sector Balance conflicting interests Raise awareness about forests and forestry Keep leadership in forest matters Gain support for forest measures Create new partnerships and cooperations Fulfill international commitments

Main Forest policy document (national forest programme document, forest policy statement, strategy or other)

Existence of forest policy document (other than law)

Yes No

Title of main forest policy document and internet links

Name: Austrian Forest Programme, Work Programme, Austrian Set of Indicators for SFM
Internet Link: www.walddialog.at;
<http://www.bmlfuv.gv.at/forst/walddialog/dokumente/indikatoren0.html>

Forest policy document development process

- formal NFP process or process explicitly guided by NFP principles
 process similar to NFP or other process

Name of endorsing/authorizing /enacting institution of the main forest policy document

- Parliament
 Council of Ministers or similar
 Minister responsible for forestry
 Forestry Department or similar
 Other. Please specify:
endorsed by all institutions who participate in the forest dialogue process

Date of endorsement / authorization / enactment

Dez. 2005

Evaluation of policy implementation

- Periodically, pre-specified in the NFP document
 Periodically, but not pre-specified
 Not specified, not planned
 Other

Is there explicit reference to FOREST EUROPE instruments in the forest policy document?

- FOREST EUROPE definition of SFM Yes No
- FOREST EUROPE criteria and indicators for SFM Yes No
- FOREST EUROPE guidelines for NFPs Yes No
- FOREST EUROPE Classification of Protected and Protective Forests and Other Wooded Land Yes No
- FOREST EUROPE Pan-European Operational Level Guidelines for Sustainable Forest Management Yes No
- FOREST EUROPE Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and Reforestation Yes No

If the responsibility for forest policy is decentralized:
Existence of formally endorsed subnational forest policy document(s)

Yes No

Yes No

Significant changes related to

main forest policy document since 2011

If yes, please describe the reason/s for and type of changes [max. 100 words]:

see above. By the end of 2015 a Austrian Forest Strategy will be developed within the Austrian Forest Dialogue Process.

Comments on the main forest policy document

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant:

The Forest Strategy will include a "vision 2030" for the Austrian Forest Policy and specific strategic goals related to 7 thematic action areas werheof 6 are directly related to the Forest Europe criterias for SFM

Policy objectives by policy area

Policy areas

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

B1. Land use and forest area

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

B2. Carbon balance

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

B3. Health and vitality

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

B4. Production and use of wood

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

B5. Production and use of non-wood goods and services

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

B6. Biodiversity

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

B7. Protective forests

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

B8. Economic viability

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

B9. Employment (incl. safety and health)

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

B10. Public awareness and participation

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:

Yes No

If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:

B11. Research, training and education

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Are there any specifically stated policy objectives in relation to each policy area:Yes No If yes, please specify and provide quantified targets, if any:**B12. Cultural and spiritual values**

Are there any significant changes in main policy objectives since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes

Comments

Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant:

Key measures and lessons learnt per policy area

Policy areas	Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011	Key lessons learnt
	If yes, please specify [max. 100 words]	Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.

B1. Land use and forest area

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please specify

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.**B2. Carbon balance**

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No **Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.****B3. Health and vitality**

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No **Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.****B4. Production and use of wood**

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B5. Production and use of non-wood goods and services

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B6. Biodiversity

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B7. Protective forests

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B8. Economic viability

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B9. Employment (incl. safety and health)

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B10. Public awareness and participation

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B11. Research, training and education

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No

Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.

B12. Cultural and spiritual values

Key measures taken on implementing the policy since 2011

Yes No **Key lessons learnt. Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant.****Comments**

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant:

PART II: Overall institutional frameworks and by policy area**A2. Institutional frameworks**

Please specify the type of forest-related institutional arrangements	Part of Ministry	Sub-national ministries or bodies	Other body. Please specify
- forest policy administration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
- legislative supervision & enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
- support to private forest management	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
- management of public forests	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other. Please specify: Austrian Federal Forests Shareholders Company			

Government bodies	Please specify the level of main responsibility	Central government	Subnational governments	Other. (please specify in comments below)
	- administering forest policy development and implementation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- legislative supervision & enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	- support to private forest management	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	- management of public forests	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Comments [max. 100 words]			
	- legislative supervision & enforcement: Federal Forest Office (BFW)and district administrative authorities: Since 2013 competent authority for the implemetation of the EU FLEGT and EU Timber Regulation - management of public forests: See above!			

Name or group/type ¹ :Forest-related staff ² (2013,Full time equivalent)

Total [estimate]

...of which forest administration ³**Resources and capacity of public organizations related to forests**

...of which management of public forests

...of which public forest research,
education and training institutions

... of which others

Significant changes in the institutional framework, public and private, since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]

Comments

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.

Institutional frameworks per policy area

Significant changes in institutional frameworks since 2011

B1. Land use and forest area

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B2. Carbon balance

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B3. Health and vitality

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B4. Production and use of wood

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Implementation of the EU FLEGT and EU Timber Regulation

B5. Production and use of non-wood goods and services

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B6. Biodiversity

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B7. Protective forests

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B8. Economic viability

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B9. Employment (incl. safety and health)

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B10. Public awareness and participation

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B11. Research, training and education

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B12. Cultural and spiritual values

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Comments

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant:

PART III: Overall legal/regulatory frameworks and international commitments and by policy area

A3. Legal/regulatory frameworks and international commitments

Legal/regulatory frameworks

General characteristics of legal/regulatory framework: formal authority on main forest matters is laid down... [multiple answers possible]

in constitution

in legislation enacted by parliament

in administrative decrees / regulations only

Other:

at central government level

at federal level

other

Main forest and SFM related legal/regulatory act with national scope (e.g. Forest law, act or code)

Name and reference to legal document

Austrian Forest Act 1975

Main changes from previous legal act

No major changes

Date of enactment

1975

Date of latest amendment

2013

Are the following FOREST EUROPE instruments explicitly referred to in the [national?] legal/regulatory framework?

FOREST EUROPE Definition of SFM

Yes/fully

No

FOREST EUROPE C&I for SFM

Other

Significant changes in the legal/regulatory framework since 2011	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes HolzhandelsÃ¼berwachungsgesetz, 2013, a new legal act for implementing EU FLEGT and EU Timber Regulation.
Comments	Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant. The forest act is a federal law. According to the constitution, forest issues are federal competency. However, the implementation of the Forest Act is executed by indirect federal administration: this means, the Provincial Administrative Authorities at the district level are responsible in the first instance. For certain matters the Provincial Governor or the Federal Minister of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management is entrusted with enforcement of the law. In addition to the Federal Forest Act, other federal laws (e.g. Legal Basis of Water Management) and provincial laws e.g. on hunting and nature conservation are relevant
International commitments	
Significant initiatives undertaken since 2011 in implementation of international commitments related to forests signed by your country	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> If yes, please specify [max. 100 words] Start of the Austrian Forest Strategy 2020 process in response the Oslo Forest Europe Resolution 2.
Comments	Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant: See Part 1 (NFP).
Legal/regulatory frameworks per policy area	
Significant changes in institutional frameworks since 2011	
B1. Land use and forest area	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes
B2. Carbon balance	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes
B3. Health and vitality	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes
B4. Production and use of wood	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes HolzhandelsÃ¼berwachungsgesetz, 2013, a new legal act for implementing EU FLEGT and EU Timber Regulation.
B5. Production and use of non-wood goods and services	Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B6. Biodiversity Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B7. Protective forests Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B8. Economic viability Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B9. Employment (incl. safety and health) Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B10. Public awareness and participation Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B11. Research, training and education Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B12. Cultural and spiritual values Yes No
If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Comments Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant:

PART IV: Overall financial instruments/economic policy and by policy area

A4. Financial instruments and economic policy

Economic policy

Please specify objectives of main measures taken to address economic aspects of publicly owned forests

The Austrian Federal Forests are obliged by law, to obtain the best possible economic success in the production and utilization of wood, non wood forest products and if necessary further processing, while respecting the Austrian Federal Forest Act, therewith SFM, and a wide range of public interests on forests.

Main characteristics of economic policy related to forests

Please specify objectives of main measures taken to address economic aspects of privately owned forests or other

Private forest owners are free to gain economic revenues from managing their forests in accordance with legal provisions. They also benefit from public investments into forests e.g. for R&D, education, training and advisory. They are also eligible to subsidies and incentives for measures of public interest. In reverse they pay taxes.

Significant changes in economic policy related to forests (public and private) since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]

Comments

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.

Financial instruments

State/federal forest management, act or code)

Please specify financial arrangements related to state-owned forests and their management [max. 100 words]

- Profit/financially self-sufficient forest management
 Additional financial support from government to forest management
 Other. Please specify

Government financial instruments: private forest management

Please specify the use of financial instruments for private forests and their management, incl. main objectives [max. 100 words]. *For the EU Member States, please specify apart from the EU Rural Development Programme Funds.*

Grants/subsidies. Please elaborate:

In general based on the EU RD Programme (cofinanced by federal and provincial fundings.) For certain measures some provinces provide additional fundings.

Tax measures. Please elaborate:

Flat rate system for small holdings: Income tax is based only on soil productivity, not on actual logging. Most of the forest owners are not obliged to keep books. Hardly any incentives for evasion of taxes or illegal logging. Forest holdings with turnover >400.000 Euro or basic value >150.000 Euro: mandatory accounting

Incentives. Please elaborate:

Subsidies provided through EU RD programme essentially have the character of incentives.

Public funds. Please elaborate:

Austrian Catastrophe Fond (measures to restore and maintain protective functions of forests)

Investment support. Please elaborate:

According to the Austrian Forest Act possible.

Loans. Please elaborate:

Other. Please specify:

Significant changes in financial instruments related to forests since 2011

Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Year: 2009

Domestic funding (mil€)

External funding ⁴ (mil€)

Total (mil€)

Operational expenditure

-

-

-

Transfer payments (support to private forest management)

Förderung

-

-

Total public expenditure - - -

For forest administration -

Of which...

For management of public forests -

For public forest research, education and training institutions -

For other institutions. Please specify:

If transfer payments are made for forest management and conservation, indicate for what specific objective(s) - Please tick all that apply.

- Reforestation
- Afforestation
- Forest inventory and/or planning
- Conservation of forest biodiversity
- Protection, of soil and water
- Forest stand improvement
- Establishment or maintenance of protected areas
- Other

Please specify the allocation of total public expenditures among the six criteria	Estimate of resources %
C1. Forest resources and carbon	SC GM - 10
C2. Health and vitality	5
C3. Productive functions	30
C4. Biodiversity	10
C5. Protective functions	40
C6. Socio-economic functions	5
Note: the total must be 100 %	

Comments and lessons learnt Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant:

Financial instruments per policy area

Significant changes in financial instruments since 2011

B1. Land use and forest area Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B2. Carbon balance Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

B3. Health and vitality Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B4. Production and use of wood**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B5. Production and use of non-wood goods and services**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B6. Biodiversity**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B7. Protective forests**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B8. Economic viability**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B9. Employment (incl. safety and health)**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B10. Public awareness and participation**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B11. Research, training and education**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Yes No **B12. Cultural and spiritual values**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant:

Comments

Figures include expenditures of Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management, Austrian Catastrophe Fond ((measures to restore and maintain protective functions of forests) and Austrian Rural Development Programme (RDP) 2007-2013. Figures partly based on expert-estimation.

PART V: Overall informational means and by policy area**A5. Informational means**

Is there public access to forest inventory data ?

Yes No

If yes, please specify:

<http://www.waldinventur.at>

Does a written (governmental) forest-related outreach and communication strategy exist?

Yes No **Main characteristics of informational means**

If yes, please provide reference: [max. 100 words]

There is a structured procedure for systematic forest communications (e.g. building on annual forest week, state award for exemplary SFM, tree of the year campaign, response to international forest day and forest weeks).

Does a national report on the status of sustainable forest management exist?

Yes No

If yes, please provide reference/link: [max. 100 words]

The Austrian Forest Report is structured according to the MCPFE criteria and indicators of sustainable forest management. The most recent edition ("Sustainable Forest Management in Austria - Austrian Forest Report 2008") was published in 2008 and covers the period from 2005 to 2007. It is based on all the data available from various statistical surveys as well as studies by and the opinion of numerous experts. The next report is currently in progress.

Informational meansYes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]

Significant changes in informational means / forest related communication since 2011

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Forest related communication Yes No

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Comments

Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.

Financial instruments per policy area**Significant changes in informational instruments since 2011**Yes No **B1. Land use and forest area**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B2. Carbon balance**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No

B3. Health and vitality

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B4. Production and use of wood**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B5. Production and use of non-wood goods and services**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B6. Biodiversity**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B7. Protective forests**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B8. Economic viability**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B9. Employment (incl. safety and health)**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B10. Public awareness and participation**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B11. Research, training and education**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Yes No **B12. Cultural and spiritual values**

If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes

Usage of Social Media since the IYF 2011 in order to reach a broader audience (e.g. youth).

Comments

Please explain and provide reference documents where relevant:

